2/

		2	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,341	LYSAGHT, PATRICK	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phallaka Kik	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Record the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apported or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS	
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>application and IDS fill</u>	ed on 11/21/2003, interviews condu	ucted on 12/22/2006 and 1/3/2007.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 19-35, wherein claims 1-18 have respectively.	e been cancelled and claims 19-35	have been renumbered as 1-17	
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un-	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the			
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•		
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	t be submitted.	• ,	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso		948) attached	
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date	·	•	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.4 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the drawir se header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c	ngs in the front (not the back) of d).	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 	it of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC,	nust be submitted. Note the AL MATERIAL.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	atent Application	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. X Interview Summary		
3. M Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendn		
Paper No./Mail Date 11/21/2003 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9. Other		
• .		·	

Application/Control Number: 10/719,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action responds to the application and IDS filed on 11/21/2003, interviews conducted on 12/22/2006 and 11/3/2007. Claims 1-35 are pending, wherein claims 1-18 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected invention with traverse. Claims 19-35 have been examined and are allowed, wherein claims 1-18 are subjected to the following Examiner's Amendment.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18, drawn to programmable device, classified in class 326, subclass 40.
 - II. Claims 19-35, drawn to method of operating a programmable device, classified in class 716, subclass 16.
- Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, at least the programmable device of invention I can be practiced with a materially different process or method of operating the programmable other than that of invention II.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

E - 20'

Art Unit: 2825

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. During a telephone conversation with Justin Liu (Reg. No. 51,959) on 12/22/2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 19-35. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

6. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Justin Liu (Reg. No. 51,959) on 1/3/2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

In the claims:

As per claims 1-18, the claims have been cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 19-35 are allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As per **claims 19-28**, the independent claim 19, from which the respective claims depend, recites the method of operating the programmable device having a plurality of

Art Unit: 2825

configuration memory cells and a processing core, comprising the inventive steps of using the defined don't care set of configuration memory cells as read/write memory for the processing core, as claimed, which the prior arts made of record failed to teach or suggest. In particular, the prior arts made of record teach various methods of operating/configuring the programmable devices having memory cells and processing core, including using unused memory or logic for other purposes (see especially Killian et al., US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0208723, especially paragraphs [0031][0053], [0288]; Killian et al., US Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0259878, especially paragraphs [0032]-[0054], [0256]; Morfey et al., US Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0108662, especially paragraphs [0100]-[0103], [0137]; Lawman, US Patent No. 5,928,338, especially col. 2, line 24 to col. 3, line 20; MARSHALL et al., European Patent No. EP-858167, especially abstract). However, the unused memory or logic are not based on the don't care set of configuration memory cells being defined as claimed. Accordingly, the claimed invention is novel and un-obvious over the prior arts made of record.

As per claims 29-35, the independent claim 29, from which the respective claims depend, recites the method of operating the programmable device having a plurality of configuration memory cells and a processing core, comprising the inventive steps of storing predetermined user data in a subset of the defined don't care set of configuration memory cells, as claimed, which the prior arts made of record failed to teach or suggest. As discussed in the reasons for allowance of claims 19-28 above, the prior arts made of record teach various methods of operating/configuring the programmable devices

Art Unit: 2825

having memory cells and processing core, including using unused memory or logic for other purposes; however, the unused memory or logic are not based on the don't care set of configuration memory cells being defined as claimed. Accordingly, the claimed invention is novel and un-obvious over the prior arts made of record.

Conclusion

- 9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phallaka Kik whose telephone number is 571-272-1895. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

571-273-8300

Phallaka Kik

Primary Examiner

January 3, 2007